



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMME United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandra, Vinging 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/976,983 10/12/2001 Xiaowei Deng TI-31071

23494

3329

06/23/2003

TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED POBOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265

EXAMINER PHAN, TRONG Q

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2818

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/976,983	DENG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TRONG PHAN	2818
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 09 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the listatutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee efee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:		
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or		terially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.		
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a) will not be entered or to will be rejected is provided be	o)⊡ will be entered and an low or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	phi	ausory
		TRONG PHAN MARY EXAMINER



Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: 1) the same voltage is not clearly defined; 2) the word line voltage may be an independent voltage but in order to perform a read operation it must be less than the supply voltage to turn on the memory transistor.